

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,378	11/02/2001	Guido Baumoeller	H-3954-PCT/U	9714
23657 7590 09/12/2007 COGNIS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEP			FORTUNA, JOSE A	
300 BROOKSIDE AVENUE AMBLER, PA 19002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/913,378	BAUMOELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	José A. Fortuna	1731	

	José A. Fortuna	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI		·
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be anon-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		Il be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A L NOT also a disconsideration i	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application I	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
		/José A Fortuna/ Primary Examiner

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants argue that the composition is different than that of the cited reference, because it does not contain the fatty alcohols, which are not required in the present application and this is further evidenced by the fact that the current composition gives a moist feel to the tissue. The arguments are not considered convincing, because it is clear from the affidavits/declaration and the specification that for the emulsion to give a moist feel to the paper, the relative amount of the different components need to be within certain range(s) and the independent claim does not contain any relative amount within the different compounds that make up the emulsion. Applicants have not shown that the composition would work just for the mere presence of the components in the emulsion, even if all or some of them were added at infinitesimal proportions, i.e., close to Zero % (0%). Without the operational range in the independent claim, it cannot be considered that that the emulsion would produce a moist tissue.